

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 19, 2003

LB 95A, 209A, 608  
LR 132

SENATOR CUDABACK: You may.

CLERK: Thank you. New A bills: LB 95A by Senator Byars; LB 209A by Senator Bromm. (Read by title for the first time.) A motion to withdraw LR 132 by Senator Schimek; and a confirmation report by Senator Jensen. (Legislative Journal page 1918.)

Mr. President, Senator Bromm would move to amend LB 608 with AM2005. (Legislative Journal pages 1918-1928.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bromm, to open on AM2005 to LB 608.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. There had been some brief explanations of what's happening here by Senator Landis and in response to some dialogue with Senator Beutler. Let me say that I don't think there's been any bill, other than the budget stuff, that I've had an opportunity spend more time with this session than this bill, and particularly the bad actor provision that we adopted on General File. And as we got down to this point in the session and we were still hammering on that and not quite...not quite there yet on the provisions of the bad actor amendment, yesterday actually I think Senator Landis suggested that perhaps the most valuable things that we could salvage at this point in the session with this bill would be to get rid of the old LB 270 that he mentioned that really doesn't provide any incentive for anybody to add jobs, we don't believe, because it's an after-the-fact tax credit you don't have to qualify for ahead of time, make an application for or any of those provisions, and in its place to put what has been generally referred to as a rural tier amendment to use the money that would have anticipated to have been spent on LB 270 on rural tier economic development area administered by our Department of Economic Development. And we would leave for another day other suggestions with respect to LB 775 provisions, whether it be the bad actor provision, the wage provisions, notice and so forth. So not knowing how much time we would have to even get the bill up, it sounded like a decent suggestion to me. We made that proposal to those that were interested in the bill and in the amendment, and they agreed to do this. I'll run